arXiv:1207.5676v1 [math-ph] 24 Jul 2012

Effective equation for a system of mechanical oscillators in an acoustic field

Claudio Cacciapuoti
Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Institute for Applied Mathematics, Bonn Universität,
Endenicher Allee 60, 53115, Bonn, Germany
e-m: cacciapuoti@hcm.uni-bonn.de

Rodolfo Figari
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche,
Universitá di Napoli Federico II, Via Cintia 80126 Napoli, Italy
e-m: figari@na.infn.it

Andrea Posilicano
Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100
Como, Italy
e-m: andrea.posilicano@uninsubria.it

Abstract

We consider a one dimensional evolution problem modelling the dynamics of an acoustic field coupled with a set of mechanical oscillators. We analyse solutions of the system of ordinary and partial differential equations with time-dependent boundary conditions describing the evolution in the limit of a continuous distribution of oscillators.

MSC 2010: 76M50, 35D30, 35M33.
Keywords: Homogenization, point interactions, field-sources interaction.

1 Introduction

We describe first the physical setup our system of equations is meant to model. We consider the following arrangement: an infinite pipe filled with a nonviscous, compressible fluid and

n mechanical oscillators realised with thin walls of mass M_j positioned in the pipe perpendicularly to its axis. A system of springs with elastic constants K_j confine the walls around their equilibrium positions located in the points of coordinates s_j, with respect to a system of coordinates whose x-axis coincides with the axis of the pipe.

We suppose that there is no friction between the fluid and the pipe and we analyse only one dimensional flows. The acoustic field is then described by the pressure field $p(x,t)$ and the velocity field $v(x,t)$. The motion of the mechanical oscillators is described through the displacements $y_j(t)$ of the thin walls from their equilibrium positions s_j and the velocities $z_j(t) = \dot{y}_j(t)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

The field $p(x,t)$ specifies the deviation of the pressure in the point x at time t with respect to an equilibrium pressure P_0. We analyse the dynamics of the whole system (acoustic field and mechanical oscillators) in the linearized acoustic and elastic regimes. Moreover we consider walls of zero thickness and we suppose that the contact between the fluid and the walls is maintained throughout the evolution.

Under these hypotheses the dynamics is described by the following system of ordinary and partial differential equations with time dependent boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -a^2 \rho_0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\mathcal{S} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\mathcal{S} \tag{2}$$

$$M_j \frac{dz_j}{dt} = -K_j y_j - S\left(p(s_j^+) - p(s_j^-)\right) \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3}$$

$$z_j = \frac{dy_j}{dt} = v(s_j) \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{4}$$

where ρ_0 is a positive constant representing the density of the fluid, a is a positive constant indicating the velocity of sound in the fluid, S is the area of the transversal section of the pipe and $\mathcal{S} := (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ is the set of the equilibrium positions of the walls.

Aim of this paper is to characterise the effective behaviour of the system in the limit of a continuous distribution of oscillators in a bounded region.

Given the initial conditions

$$p(x,0);\ v(x,0);\ y_j(0);\ z_j(0) \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{5}$$

we consider the Cauchy problem (1)-(4), (5).

As it was shown in [1] existence and unicity, together with detailed properties of the solutions, can be proved formulating the problem as a unitary evolution in the space of finite energy. In the following we fix the notation and we recall the main result obtained in [1].

We indicate with a capital Greek letter the generic vector $(p, v, \underline{y}, \underline{z}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$, where $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of square-integrable functions on the real line,

$$\underline{y} = y_1 \underline{e}_1 + \cdots + y_n \underline{e}_n\,, \quad \underline{z} = z_1 \underline{e}_1 + \cdots + z_n \underline{e}_n$$

and $\underline{e}_1, \ldots, \underline{e}_n$ is the canonical orthonormal base in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The Hilbert space of finite energy is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$$

with the scalar product

$$\langle\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle\rangle := \frac{1}{a^2 \rho_0} \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle + \rho_0 \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[K_j \, \bar{y}_{1j} y_{2j} + M_j \, \bar{z}_{1j} z_{2j} \right] ,$$

where $\langle \cdot \, , \cdot \rangle$ indicates the standard scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $^-$ denotes complex conjugation. The square norm of a vector Ψ, $\|\Psi\|^2 = \langle\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle\rangle$, defines the total energy of the system in the state Ψ

$$E_{tot} = \frac{S}{2} \|\Psi\|^2 = E_{ac} + E_{osc} \, ,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ac} &= \frac{S}{2a^2 \rho_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p(x)|^2 dx + \frac{S \rho_0}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |v(x)|^2 dx \\ E_{osc} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\frac{K_j}{2} |y_j|^2 + \frac{M_j}{2} |z_j|^2 \right] , \end{aligned}$$

E_{ac} is the energy stored in the acoustic field while E_{osc} is the energy of the mechanical oscillators. Let $\bar{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the homogeneous Sobolev space of locally square-integrable functions with square-integrable (distributional) derivative and $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ the usual Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}) := \bar{H}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Similarly we define, for any open set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the Sobolev spaces $H^1(\mathcal{O}) := \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \frac{df}{dx} \in L^2(\mathcal{O})\}$ and $H^2(\mathcal{O}) := \{f \in H^1(\mathcal{O}) : \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \in L^2(\mathcal{O})\}$. The following theorem holds

Theorem 1. *The linear operator*

$$\hat{A} : D(\hat{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(\hat{A}) := \big\{ (p, v, \underline{y}, \underline{z}) \,\big|\, & p \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathcal{S}), \; v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ & \underline{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \; \underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \; v(s_j) = z_j, \; j = 1, \ldots, n \big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{A}(p, v, \underline{y}, \underline{z}) := \left(-a^2 \rho_0 \frac{dv}{dx}, \; -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{dp_{reg}}{dx}, \; \underline{z}, \; -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{K_j}{M_j} y_j + \frac{S}{M_j} \sigma_j \right) \underline{e}_j \right)$$

is real and skew-adjoint. Here $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_j := p(s_j^+) - p(s_j^-)$$

and $p_{reg} \in \bar{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is the regular part of p, i.e.

$$p_{reg}(x) := p(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j \; \mathrm{sgn} \, (x - s_j) \, .$$

Remark 1. Notice that the left and right limits entering in the definitions of the σ_j's exist and are finite by

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| \leq (x_2 - x_1)^{1/2} \left\| \frac{dp}{dx} \right\|_{L^2(s_j, s_{j+1})} , \quad s_j < x_1 \leq x_2 < s_{j+1} \, .$$

Theorem 1 implies that the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi_t = \hat{A}\Psi_t \tag{6}$$

$$\Psi_{t=0} = \Psi_0 \qquad \Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \tag{7}$$

has a unique solution expressed via the strongly continuous unitary group of evolution generated by $\hat{A}$, $\Psi_t = e^{t\hat{A}}\Psi_0$. Moreover the unitary evolution preserves reality in such a way that one can consider the flow restricted to the real Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_r := L^2_r(\mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2_r(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n ,$$

where $L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of real-valued functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The operator $\hat{A}$ is skew-adjoint so that $\|\Psi_t\| = \|\Psi_0\|$, and the total energy remains constant. It is easy to check that the unique solution of (6)-(7) solves in $\mathcal{H}$ the Cauchy problem (1)-(4), (5).
In fact from Theorem 1 the equation (6) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -a^2\rho_0\,\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} ,\\
&\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\,\frac{\partial p_{reg}}{\partial x} ,\\
&\frac{dy_j}{dt} = z_j , \quad j = 1, \ldots , n ,\\
&\frac{dz_j}{dt} = -\left(\frac{K_j}{M_j}\,y_j + \frac{S}{M_j}\,\sigma_j\right) , \quad j = 1, \ldots , n .
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $\Psi_0 \in D(\hat{A})$ the solution Ψ_t belongs to $D(\hat{A})$ so that condition (4) is automatically satisfied at any time.
In the following section we investigate the effective response to an incoming acoustical wave of a chain of mechanical oscillators in the limit of a continuous distribution of oscillator masses.

2 Effective equation in the limit of a continuous distribution of oscillators

Let us consider a sequence of Cauchy problems

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Psi^{(n)}_t = \hat{A}^{(n)}\Psi^{(n)}_t \\
&\Psi^{(n)}_{t=0} = \Psi^{(n)}_0 \qquad \Psi^{(n)}_0 \in \mathcal{H}
\end{aligned}$$

for increasing $n \in \mathbb{N}$, when the following assumptions are satisfied:

$\mathcal{A}_1$) The set $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} := (s^{(n)}_1, \ldots , s^{(n)}_n)$ of the equilibrium positions is contained in a bounded interval around the origin, $s^{(n)}_j \in [-L/2, L/2]$ $\forall n$ and $\forall j = 1 \ldots n$;

$\mathcal{A}_2$) The two measures $\mu^{(n)}_M := \sum_{j=1}^n M^{(n)}_j \delta_{s^{(n)}_j}$ and $\mu^{(n)}_K := \sum_{j=1}^n K^{(n)}_j \delta_{s^{(n)}_j}$, where $\delta_{s^{(n)}_j}$ is the Dirac mass supported at point $s^{(n)}_j$, are uniformly equivalent in the sense that $0 < c_1 <$

sup $\left[L^2 K_j^{(n)}/a^2 M_j^{(n)}\right] < c_2 < \infty$, here c_1 and c_2 are two positive constants. The two measures have total mass which is bounded uniformly in n : $\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n)} < c \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_j^{(n)} < c$. Furthermore $\mu_M^{(n)}$ and $\mu_K^{(n)}$ weakly converge, when n tends to infinity, to measures supported in $[-L/2, L/2]$ which are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure (the corresponding Radon-Nikodim derivatives will be denoted with $S\rho_M$ and $S\rho_K$);

$\mathcal{A}_3$) Initial conditions will be chosen independent of n. In particular we consider the case

$$p^{(n)}(x,0) = p_0(x);\ v^{(n)}(x,0) = v_0(x);\ y_j^{(n)}(0) = 0;\ z_j^{(n)}(0) = 0 \qquad j = 1,\dots,n\,, \tag{8}$$

where p_0 and v_0 are in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{supp}(p_0)\cap[-L/2, L/2] = \emptyset$, $\operatorname{supp}(v_0)\cap[-L/2, L/2] = \emptyset$.

Remark 2. The request of equivalence of the two measures $\mu_M^{(n)}$ and $\mu_K^{(n)}$ could be released in various ways but it is well motivated, from a physical point of view, preventing the occurrence of zero or infinite proper oscillation frequencies.

Initial conditions more general than (8) might of course be considered. Nevertheless (8) seem to be the most natural initial conditions which do not depend on n. Notice, in particular, that at each step n, corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of $\hat{A}^{(n)}$, there are static solutions of problem (1)-(4). As it was shown in [1] the static solutions are of the form $\Psi_{st} = (p_{st}^{(n)}, 0, \underline{y}_{st}, \underline{0})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(p_{st}) \subseteq [-L/2, L/2]$. Being initial conditions (8) orthogonal to all static solutions we are guaranteed that a genuine scattering problem is analysed.
Under the assumptions stated above we prove the following

Theorem 2. *Let the sequence of sets $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} := (s_1^{(n)},\dots,s_n^{(n)})$ and the sets of positive real numbers $\underline{M}^{(n)} := (M_1^{(n)},\dots,M_n^{(n)})$ and $\underline{K}^{(n)} := (K_1^{(n)},\dots,K_n^{(n)})$ be such that the assumptions $\mathcal{A}_1$) and $\mathcal{A}_2$) above are satisfied. Let $v^{(n)}$ be the velocity field in the solution of problem (25)-(26) with initial conditions satisfying assumption $\mathcal{A}_3$). Then there exist a subsequence*

$$\{v^{(n_k)}\}_1^\infty \subseteq \{v^{(n)}\}_1^\infty \subset C^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathcal{S}^{(n)}))$$

and

$$v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; C(\mathbb{R})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$$

such that
1. $v^{(n_k)}$ and $\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}$ converge to v and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$;
2. $\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial x}(t,\cdot)$ converges to $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(t,\cdot)$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, uniformly in t over compact intervals;
3. v is a weak solution of the hyperbolic equation

$$\left(1 + \frac{\rho_M}{\rho_0}\right)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} - a^2\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\rho_K}{\rho_0}\, v = 0. \tag{9}$$

with initial data

$$v|_{t=0} = v_0\,; \qquad \left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{dp_0}{dx}\,. \tag{10}$$

Proof. By the basic properties of one-parameter groups of operators and their generators (see e.g. [2]), the map $t \mapsto e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\Psi_0$ belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})\cap C(\mathbb{R}; D(\hat{A}^{(n)}))$ if and only if $\Psi_0 \in D(\hat{A}^{(n)})$. In this case

$$\frac{d}{dt}\, e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\Psi_0 = \hat{A}^{(n)} e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\Psi_0 = e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\hat{A}^{(n)}\Psi_0\,,$$

and, since $\hat{A}^{(n)}$ is skew-adjoint,

$$\|\hat{A}^{(n)}\Psi_t^{(n)}\| = \|e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\hat{A}^{(n)}\Psi_0\| = \|\hat{A}^{(n)}\Psi_0\|\,. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, since any initial condition $\Psi_0 = (p_0, v_0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})$ chosen according to assumption $\mathcal{A}_3$) is in $D\big([\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2\big)$ for any n, the path $t \mapsto \Psi_t^{(n)} := e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}\Psi_0$ is in $C^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; D(\hat{A}^{(n)})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; D([\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2))$,

$$\frac{d^2\Psi_t^{(n)}}{dt^2} = [\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2\Psi_t^{(n)} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\|[\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2\Psi_t^{(n)}\| = \|e^{t\hat{A}^{(n)}}[\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2\Psi_0\| = \|[\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2\Psi_0\|\,. \tag{13}$$

From the explicit characterization of domain and action of $[A^{(n)}]^2$

$$\begin{aligned} D([\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2) = \Bigg\{ & (p^{(n)}, v^{(n)}, \underline{y}^{(n)}, \underline{z}^{(n)}) \in D(A^{(n)}) \Bigg| \, \frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathcal{S}^{(n)}), \\ & \frac{dp^{(n)}_{reg}}{dx} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),\ \frac{dp^{(n)}_{reg}}{dx}(s_j^{(n)}) = \rho_0\bigg(\frac{K_j^{(n)}}{M_j^{(n)}}y_j^{(n)} + \frac{S}{M_j^{(n)}}\sigma_j^{(n)}\bigg),\ j = 1, \dots, n \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}^{(n)}]^2(p^{(n)}, v^{(n)}, \underline{y}^{(n)}, \underline{z}^{(n)}) = \Bigg(& a^2\frac{d^2p^{(n)}_{reg}}{dx^2},\ a^2\frac{d}{dx}\bigg(\frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx}\bigg)_{reg}, \\ & -\sum_{j=1}^{n}\bigg(\frac{K_j^{(n)}}{M_j^{(n)}}\,y_j^{(n)} + \frac{S}{M_j^{(n)}}\,\sigma_j^{(n)}\bigg)\,\underline{e}_j^{(n)},\ -\sum_{j=1}^{n}\bigg(\frac{K_j^{(n)}}{M_j^{(n)}}\,z_j^{(n)} - \frac{a^2\rho_0 S}{M_j^{(n)}}\,\zeta_j^{(n)}\bigg)\,\underline{e}_j^{(n)}\Bigg) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_j^{(n)} := \frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx}(s_j^{(n)+}) - \frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx}(s_j^{(n)-}),$$

$$\sigma_j^{(n)} := p^{(n)}(s_j^{(n)+}) - p^{(n)}(s_j^{(n)-}),$$

$$\bigg(\frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx}\bigg)_{reg}(x) := \frac{dv^{(n)}}{dx}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\zeta_j^{(n)}\,\mathrm{sgn}\,(x - s_j^{(n)})\,. \tag{14}$$

We conclude that if $\Psi_t^{(n)} = (p^{(n)}(t), v^{(n)}(t), \underline{y}^{(n)}(t), \underline{z}^{(n)}(t))$ is the solution of (12), then

$$v^{(n)} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathcal{S}^{(n)}))\,, \quad \underline{z} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})\,,$$

and $(v^{(n)}, \underline{z}^{(n)})$ is the strong solution of the following Cauchy problem with time-dependent boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\partial^2 v^{(n)}}{\partial t^2} = a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial x}\right)_{reg}, \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{d^2 z_j^{(n)}}{dt^2} + \frac{K_j^{(n)}}{M_j^{(n)}}\, z_j^{(n)} = \frac{a^2 \rho_0 S}{M_j^{(n)}}\, \zeta_j^{(n)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{16}$$

$$v^{(n)}(t, s_j^{(n)}) = z_j^{(n)}(t), \quad \frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n)}) = \frac{dz_j^{(n)}}{dt}(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{17}$$

$$v^{(n)}\big|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial t}\bigg|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x}, \tag{18}$$

$$\underline{z}^{(n)}\big|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{d\underline{z}^{(n)}}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, by energy conservation and by (11) and (13), there exists a positive constant c depending only on the norm of the initial datum $\Psi_0 = (p_0, v_0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})$, such that the following bounds hold for any t and n:

$$\|v^{(n)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le c, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial t}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le c, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial^2 v^{(n)}}{\partial t^2}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le c, \tag{20}$$

$$\left\|\left(\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial x}\right)_{reg}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le c, \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n)} |z_j^{(n)}(t)|^2 \le c, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n)} \left|\frac{K_j^{(n)}}{M_j^{(n)}}\, z_j^{(n)}(t) - \frac{a^2 \rho_0 S}{M_j^{(n)}}\, \zeta_j^{(n)}(t)\right|^2 \le c. \tag{22}$$

By the continuous injection $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R})$ one has

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2} |v^{(n)}(t,x)| \le c_1 \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|v^{(n)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

and (here $x_1 < x_2$ and $t_1 < t_2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v^{(n)}(t_1,x_1) - v^{(n)}(t_2,x_2)| \\
\le&\sqrt{x_2 - x_1}\, \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left\|\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial x}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (t_2 - t_1) \sup_{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial t}(t,x)\right| \\
\le&\sqrt{x_2 - x_1}\, \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|v^{(n)}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + c_1(t_2 - t_1) \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left\|\frac{\partial v^{(n)}}{\partial t}(t,\cdot)\right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (20) the sequence $\{v^{(n)}\}_1^\infty$ is equi-bounded and equi-continuous. Thus, by Ascoli-Arzela theorem, there exist a subsequence $\{v^{(n_k)}\}_1^\infty$ and $v \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $v^{(n_k)}$ converges to v uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Let us now take a bounded rectangle $R = I_1 \times I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Since the injection $H^1(I_2) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{I}_2)$ is a compact operator and, by (20), $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}\right\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I_1; H^1(I_2))$ and $\left\{\frac{\partial^2 v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t^2}\right\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I_1; L^2(I_2))$, by corollary 4 in [3], section 8, applied to the triple of Banach spaces $X = H^1(I_2) \subset B = C(\bar{I}_2) \subset Y = L^2(I_2)$, there exists a sub-subsequence $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_i^R)}}{\partial t}\right\}_1^\infty$ converging to $\dot{v}_R$ in $C(\bar{I}_1; C(\bar{I}_2))$.

Let $H^\alpha(I_2)$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, be defined, as usual, by

$$H^\alpha(I_2) := \left\{ f \in L^2(I_2) \, : \, \int_{I_2}\int_{I_2} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2\alpha}}\, dxdy < \infty \right\} .$$

$H^\alpha(I_2)$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{H^\alpha(I_2)} = \left(\|f\|^2_{L^2(I_2)} + \int_{I_2}\int_{I_2} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2\alpha}}\, dxdy \right)^{1/2} .$$

Notice that $\mathrm{sgn}\,(\cdot - s_j^{(n)}) \in H^\alpha(I_2)$ for any $\alpha < 1/2$ and for any bounded $I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$ and its $H^\alpha(I_2)$ norm is bounded uniformly in n. Hence, by (14), (21), (22) and $\mathcal{A}_2$), $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial x}\right\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I_1; H^\alpha(I_2))$, $\alpha < 1/2$. Moreover, by (20), $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial x}\right\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I_1; L^2(I_2))$. Thus, since the injection $H^\alpha(I_2) \hookrightarrow L^2(I_2)$, $\alpha > 0$, is a compact operator, again by corollary 4 in [3], section 8, applied to the triple of Banach spaces $X = H^\alpha(I_2) \subset B = Y = L^2(I_2)$, there exists a sub-subsequence $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_j^R)}}{\partial x}\right\}_1^\infty$ converging to v'_R in $C(\bar{I}_1; L^2(I_2))$.

Considering then the sequence of rectangles $R_m = (-m,m) \times (-m,m)$, by a standard diagonal argument, one obtains a further subsequence, which for notational convenience we continue to denote by $\{v^{(n_k)}\}_1^\infty$, such that $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}\right\}_1^\infty$ converges to $\dot{v} \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ uniformly over compact sets, and such that $\left\{\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial x}\right\}_1^\infty$ converges to $v' \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ uniformly over compact time intervals. Defining

$$v_1(t,x) := v(0,x) + \mathrm{sgn}\,(t) \int_0^t \dot{v}(s,x)\, ds \, , \quad v_2(t,x) := v(t,0) + \mathrm{sgn}\,(x) \int_0^x v'(t,y)\, dy \, ,$$

one obtains $v^{(n_k)} \to v_1$ and $v^{(n_k)} \to v_2$, and so

$$v_1 = v_2 = v \, , \quad \dot{v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \, , \quad v' = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \, .$$

Let us now multiply both sides of equation (15) by a function $g \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Integrating by part we get

$$-\int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}(t,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt - \left\langle \frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}(0,\cdot), g(0,\cdot) \right\rangle = -a^2 \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial x}(t,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt$$
$$+\frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} M_j^{(n_k)} \frac{dz_j^{(n_k)}}{dt}(t) \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt - \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_j^{(n_k)} z_j^{(n_k)}(t) g(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \, .$$

Using assumption $\mathcal{A}_2$) and $\mathcal{A}_3$) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n_k)} \frac{dz_j^{(n_k)}}{dt}(t) \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
=& \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n_k)} \left(\frac{\partial v^{(n_k)}}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)}) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)}) \right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
&+ \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^{(n_k)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
=& \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\rho_M}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_j^{(n_k)} z_j^{(n_k)}(t) g(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
=& \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{(n_k)} (v^{(n_k)}(t, s_j^{(n_k)}) - v(t, s_j^{(n_k)}))\, g(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
&+ \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_0 S} \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_j^{(n_k)}\, v(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, g(t, s_j^{(n_k)})\, dt \\
=& \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\rho_K}{\rho_0}\, v(t,\cdot), g(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit function v then satisfies the equation (notice that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(0,\cdot)$ and ρ_M have disjoint supports)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \int_0^\infty \left\langle \Big(1 + \frac{\rho_M}{\rho_0}\Big) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt - \left\langle \Big(1 + \frac{\rho_M}{\rho_0}\Big) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(0,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(0,\cdot) \right\rangle \\
=& - a^2 \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(t,\cdot), \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(t,\cdot) \right\rangle dt - \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\rho_K}{\rho_0}\, v(t,\cdot), g(t,\cdot) \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a weak form of equation (9) when the initial data v_0 is specified. ☐

Remark 3. Posing

$$
w := 1 + \frac{\rho_M}{\rho_0}\,, \quad q := \frac{\rho_K}{\rho_0}\,,
$$

let us define the weighted L^2 space

$$
L^2(\mathbb{R}; w) := \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable } : \; f\sqrt{w} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}
$$

and the linear operator

$$
L : D(L) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}; w) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}; w)\,, \qquad Lf := \frac{1}{w} \left(-a^2 \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + qf \right),
$$

$$
D(L) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; w) \; : \; f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})\,, \; \frac{df}{dx} \in \mathrm{AC}(\mathbb{R})\,, \quad Lf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; w) \right\}.
$$

Here AC($\mathbb{R}$) denotes the set of absolutely continuous functions on the real line. Notice that L is in the limit point case at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ (use e.g. Theorem 6.3 in [4]) and so, by the theory of Sturm-Liouville operators (see e.g. [4]), L is self-adjoint (and positive). Hence, by the theory of abstract second-order equations (see e.g. [2], Chapter 2, Section 7), the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} & w\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = a^2\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - qv \\ & v|_{t=0} = v_1 \in D(L)\,, \quad \left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} = v_2 \in D(\sqrt{L}) \end{aligned}$$

has an unique strong solution

$$v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}; w)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; D(\sqrt{L})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; D(L))\,. \tag{23}$$

Since, by hypotheses $\mathcal{A}_2$) and $\mathcal{A}_3$), $v_0 \in D(L)$ and $\frac{dp_0}{dx} \in D(\sqrt{L})$, the regularity of the limit function v in Theorem 2 can be precised further: it is the unique strong solution of Cauchy problem (9)-(10) and satisfies (23).

References

[1] Cacciapuoti C., Figari R., Posilicano A., *Point Interactions in Acoustics: One Dimensional Models*, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 062901 (2006) (ISSN 0022-2488).

[2] Goldstein J.A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications.* Oxford University Press 1985

[3] Simon J., *Compact sets in the space $L^p(0,T;B)$*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **146** (1987), 65-96

[4] Weidman J., *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators.* Springer-Verlag 1987